

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

09/376,461

APPLICATION NO. 1

JOHN L ROGITZ ESQ

750 B STREET

**SUITE 3120** 

**ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES** 

SAN DIEGO CA 92101

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

1128.006A

PM82/1027

EXAMINER COHEN, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3634

DATE MAILED:

10/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





Application No.

09/376,461

**Curtis Cohen** 

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

3634

Rillie

## **Advisory Action**

	ERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
ai l	X expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
date dete	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of rmining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ulated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
App peri	pellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any od for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	ant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 23, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
The	proposed amendment(s):
X	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	IOTE:
Nev	Section 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 5.  wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
sep	arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
) <b></b> -	
	e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conditionallowance because:
for —— —— The	
for —— The the	allowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
for The	allowance because:  e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
for The the For	allowance because:  e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.
for The the For Clai	allowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:
for The the Clai	allowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:  ims objected to:
for The the Clai Clai The	allowance because:  a affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:  ims objected to:  ims rejected: 1-4 and 6-9
for The the Clai Clai Clai The Not	allowance because:  a affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:  ims objected to:  ims rejected: 1-4 and 6-9  a proposed drawing correction filed on
for The the Clai Clai Clai Not	allowance because:  a affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:  ims objected to:  ims rejected: 1-4 and 6-9  a proposed drawing correction filed on
for The the For Clai Clai The	allowance because:  a affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ims allowed:  ims objected to:  ims rejected: 1-4 and 6-9  a proposed drawing correction filed on